

Cayley-Sudoku tables: An
undergraduate research project
Preliminary Report
(Slides for a talk given at the
Joint Mathematics Meetings
2007, New Orleans)

Jennifer Carmichael, Western Oregon U '06,
Keith Schloeman, Western Oregon U '07,
Michael B. Ward, Western Oregon U

January 2007

Definition 1 A **Sudoku table** is an $n \times n$ array partitioned into rectangular blocks of some fixed size such that each of n symbols appear exactly once in each row, each column, and each block.

In the standard Sudoku puzzle, the array is 9×9 , the blocks are 3×3 and the symbols are the numbers 1 through 9.

Standard Example:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 3 |
| 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| 6 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| 8 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 |

Definition 2 A **Cayley table** is an operation table for a finite group.

Definition 3 A **Cayley-Sudoku table** is a Cayley table which is also a Sudoku table (excluding the group elements that label rows and columns in the Cayley table).

Example 1 A Cayley-Sudoku Table for \mathbb{Z}_9 , with row and column labels shown for clarity.

| | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| 7 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 8 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 |

Example 2 A Cayley-Sudoku table for A_4

To save space, rename elements of A_4 :

$1 := 1$ $(123) := 5$ $(132) := 9$
 $(12)(34) := 2$ $(243) := 6$ $(143) := 10$
 $(13)(24) := 3$ $(142) := 7$ $(234) := 11$
 $(14)(23) := 4$ $(134) := 8$ $(124) := 12$

| A_4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 2 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| 5 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| 6 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| 7 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 1 |
| 9 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| 11 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 5 |
| 12 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| 10 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 6 |

How are those examples constructed?

Study the layout of the Cayley tables.

Example 1 Revisited

Consider the subgroup $H := \langle 3 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6\}$.

Its cosets are

$$H + 0 = \{0, 3, 6\} = 0 + H = H,$$

$$H + 1 = \{1, 4, 7\} = 1 + H, \text{ and}$$

$$H + 2 = \{2, 5, 8\} = 2 + H.$$

The sets

$T_1 := \{0, 1, 2\}$, $T_2 := \{3, 4, 5\}$, $T_3 := \{6, 7, 8\}$
partition \mathbb{Z}_9 into complete sets of left coset
representatives (AKA left transversals).

| \mathbb{Z}_9 | | H | | | $H+1$ | | | $H+2$ | | |
|----------------|---|-----|---|---|-------|---|---|-------|---|---|
| | | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| T_1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| | 2 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| T_2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| | 4 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| | 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| T_3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| | 7 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| | 8 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 |

Example 2 Revisited

Consider the subgroup $H := \langle (123) \rangle = \{1, (123), (132)\} = \{1, 5, 9\}$. Its cosets are

$$\begin{aligned} H1 &= H = \{1, 5, 9\} & 1H &= H = \{1, 5, 9\} \\ H(12)(34) &= \{2, 6, 10\} & (12)(34)H &= \{2, 8, 11\} \\ H(13)(24) &= \{3, 7, 11\} & (13)(24)H &= \{3, 6, 12\} \\ H(14)(23) &= \{4, 8, 12\} & (14)(23)H &= \{4, 7, 10\} \end{aligned}$$

The sets

$T_1 := \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $T_2 := \{5, 8, 6, 7\}$,
 $T_3 := \{9, 11, 12, 10\}$ partition A_4 into complete sets of left coset representatives.

| A_4 | H | | | $H(12)(34)$ | | | $H(13)(24)$ | | | $H(14)(23)$ | | |
|---------|-----|----|----|-------------|----|----|-------------|----|----|-------------|----|----|
| | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| T_1 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 2 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| T_2 5 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| 6 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| 7 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 1 |
| T_3 9 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| 11 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 5 |
| 12 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| 10 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 6 |

Theorem 1 (K. Schloeman) *For any finite group G and any subgroup H of G , let the distinct right cosets of H in G be $H = Hg_1, Hg_2, \dots, Hg_m$ and let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n be any partition of G into complete sets of left coset representatives of H in G . Labeling the rows and columns of a Cayley table for G as follows will give a Cayley-Sudoku table for G .*

| | H | Hg_2 | \dots | Hg_m |
|----------|-----|--------|---------|--------|
| T_1 | | | | |
| T_2 | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | |
| T_n | | | | |

Theorem 1 (continued) *Also, if the distinct left cosets of H in G are $H = g'_1H, g'_2H, \dots, g'_mH$ and R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n is any partition of G into complete sets of right coset representatives of H in G , then the following is also a Cayley-Sudoku table for G .*

| | R_1 | R_2 | \dots | R_n |
|----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| H | | | | |
| g'_2H | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | |
| g'_mH | | | | |

Example 2 Re-revisited

| | | | |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| | $1 := 1$ | $(123) := 5$ | $(132) := 9$ |
| Reminder | $(12)(34) := 2$ | $(243) := 6$ | $(143) := 10$ |
| | $(13)(24) := 3$ | $(142) := 7$ | $(234) := 11$ |
| | $(14)(23) := 4$ | $(134) := 8$ | $(124) := 12$ |

Let $H := \{1, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the Klein 4-group, normal in A_4 .

The other cosets are

$(123)H = 5H = \{5, 8, 6, 7\} = H5$ and

$(132)H = 9H = \{9, 11, 12, 10\} = H9$.

The sets R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 are easily seen to be complete sets of right coset representatives.

| A_4 | R_1 | | | R_2 | | | R_3 | | | R_4 | | |
|--------|-------|----|----|-------|----|----|-------|----|----|-------|----|----|
| | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| H 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 2 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| $5H$ 5 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| 6 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| 7 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 1 |
| $9H$ 9 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| 11 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 5 |
| 12 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| 10 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 6 |

Theorem 2 (J. Carmichael & M. Ward) *For groups of order 9, Keith's Theorem 1 produces all possible Cayley-Sudoku tables.*

Theorem 3 (Christmas Eve) *Suppose $H = \{1 = h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}$ and $K = \{1 = k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\}$ are subgroups of a finite group G such that $G = KH := \{k_i h_j : k_i \in K \text{ and } h_j \in H\}$ and $K \cap H = 1$. Labeling the rows and columns of a Cayley table for G as follows will give a Cayley-Sudoku table for G .*

| | H | $k_2 H$ | \dots | $k_m H$ |
|----------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| K | | | | |
| Kh_2 | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | |
| Kh_n | | | | |

Example 3 *A Cayley-Sudoku table for S_4 not of the type produced by Theorem 1*

Extend the numbering introduced earlier for A_4 to a numbering of elements of S_4 by defining $(12)\ell := \ell + 12$ for permutation number ℓ in A_4 .

Let $H := \langle (123) \rangle = \{1, 5, 9\}$, a Sylow 3-subgroup of S_4 , and $K := \langle (13), (1234) \rangle = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 17, 18, 19, 20\}$, a Sylow 2-subgroup of S_4 .

It is easy to check that $S_4 = KH$ and $K \cap H = 1$. Therefore, by Theorem. 3, the following is a Cayley-Sudoku table of S_4 . By inspection, we see it is not of the type produced by Theorem. 1.

| S_4 | H | | | $2H$ | | | $3H$ | | | $4H$ | | |
|-------|-----|----|----|------|----|----|------|----|----|------|----|----|
| | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| K | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| | 2 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 11 |
| | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| | 17 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 14 |
| | 18 | 24 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 22 | 16 |
| | 19 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 15 |
| | 20 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 22 | 16 | 18 | 24 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 13 |
| $K5$ | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 2 |
| | 8 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 1 |
| | 6 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 4 |
| | 7 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 3 |
| | 21 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 15 | 18 |
| | 24 | 15 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 20 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 13 | 17 |
| | 22 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 13 | 17 | 24 | 15 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 20 |
| | 23 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 19 |
| $K9$ | 9 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 6 |
| | 11 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| | 12 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| | 10 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| | 13 | 17 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 23 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 16 | 19 | 22 |
| | 15 | 18 | 24 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 23 |
| | 16 | 19 | 22 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 14 | 20 | 23 | 13 | 17 | 21 |
| | 14 | 20 | 23 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 15 | 18 | 24 |

Open Questions

- Are there ways to build Cayley-Sudoku tables without using cosets/coset representatives?
- If a column [row] of blocks is labeled by elements of a subgroup, must the other columns [rows] of blocks be labeled by cosets of that subgroup?
- If $A = \{1 = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $B = \{1 = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ are subsets of a finite group G such that the following table contains each element of G exactly once, must either A or B be a subgroup of G ?

| | 1 | b_2 | \dots | b_m |
|----------|---|-------|---------|-------|
| 1 | | | | |
| a_2 | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | |
| a_n | | | | |

NEWS FLASH 19 JANUARY 2007

Keith Schloeman has now answered all the open questions on the previous slide. The answers are, respectively, “yes” , “no” and “no” .