Orthogonal & Magic Cayley-Sudoku Tables

Michael Ward & Rosanna Mersereau '13 Western Oregon University

XXXII Ohio State-Denison Mathematics Conference May 2014 Speaker's Qualifications

Cayley-Sudoku Tables–Expert

Latin Squares, Orthogonality, Magic Squares–Dilettante

Cayley-Sudoku Tables

Definition

A **Sudoku table** is an $n \times n$ array partitioned into rectangular blocks of some fixed size such that each of n symbols appear exactly once in each row, each column, and each block.

(In the ubiquitous Sudoku puzzle, the array is 9×9 ; the blocks are 3×3 ; and the symbols are the numbers 1 through 9.)

Cayley-Sudoku Tables

Definition

A **Sudoku table** is an $n \times n$ array partitioned into rectangular blocks of some fixed size such that each of n symbols appear exactly once in each row, each column, and each block.

(In the ubiquitous Sudoku puzzle, the array is 9×9 ; the blocks are 3×3 ; and the symbols are the numbers 1 through 9.)

Any Cayley table is a (bordered) Latin square, so it is 2/3 sudoku.

Definition

A **Cayley-Sudoku table** [C-S Table] is a Cayley table which is also a (bordered) Sudoku table.

Example: \mathbb{Z}_9

	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	8
0	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	8
1	1	4	7	2	5	8	3	6	0
2	2	5	8	3	6	0	4	7	1
3	3	6	0	4	7	1	5	8	2
4	4	7	1	5	8	2	6	0	3
5	5	8	2	6	0	3	7	1	4
6	6	0	3	7	1	4	8	2	5
7	7	1	4	8	2	5	0	3	6
8	8	2	5	0	3	6	1	4	7

A Cayley-Sudoku Table for $\mathbb{Z}_9 := \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$ under addition mod 9

Example: *D*₄

	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)
0	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)
(1,2)(3,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0	(1,4,3,2)
(1,2,3,4)	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)
(2,4)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1, 2, 3, 4)	0
(1,3)(2,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)
(1,4)(2,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	0	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1, 2, 3, 4)
(1,4,3,2)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)
(1,3)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)

A Cayley-Sudoku Table for D_4 , dihedral group of order 8

How the \mathbb{Z}_9 Cayley-Sudoku table was made: Column Labels

	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	8
0	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	8
1	1	4	7	2	5	8	3	6	0
2	2	5	8	3	6	0	4	7	1
3	3	6	0	4	7	1	5	8	2
4	4	7	1	5	8	2	6	0	3
5	5	8	2	6	0	3	7	1	4
6	6	0	3	7	1	4	8	2	5
7	7	1	4	8	2	5	0	3	6
8	8	2	5	0	3	6	1	4	7

Consider the subgroup $\langle 3 \rangle = \{0,3,6\}$. The column labels of each block [0,3,6] = [0,3,6] + 0, [1,4,7] = [0,3,6] + 1 and [2,5,8] = [0,3,6] + 2 are right cosets of the subgroup.

Row Labels

	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	8
0	0	3	6	1	4	7	2	5	8
1	1	4	7	2	5	8	3	6	0
2	2	5	8	3	6	0	4	7	1
3	3	6	0	4	7	1	5	8	2
4	4	7	1	5	8	2	6	0	3
5	5	8	2	6	0	3	7	1	4
6	6	0	3	7	1	4	8	2	5
7	7	1	4	8	2	5	0	3	6
8	8	2	5	0	3	6	1	4	7

The row labels of each block are complete sets of left coset representatives, i.e. one element from each left coset.

$$0 + [0, 3, 6] = [0, 3, 6]$$

1 + [0,3,6] = [1,4,7]2 + [0,3,6] = [2,5,8]

Generalization

Theorem (J. Dénes 1967; J. Carmichael, K. Schloeman, M. Ward 2010) Let G be a finite group. Assume H is a subgroup of G. Let Hg_1, Hg_2, \ldots, Hg_n be the distinct right cosets of H in G and let T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k partition G into complete sets of left coset representatives [CSLCR] of H in G. The following layout gives a Cayley-Sudoku table.

	Hg ₁	Hg_2	•••	Hg_n
T_1				
<i>T</i> ₂				
÷				
T_k				

We call this a Cayley-Sudoku table based on *H*.

Cayley-Sudoku tables exist for every group based on any subgroup.

In this talk, order matters. We regard cosets and CSLCR as (ordered) lists, denoted [].

- In this talk, order matters. We regard cosets and CSLCR as (ordered) lists, denoted [].
- Our Cayley-Sudoku tables are made this way.

	$[Hg_1]$	$[Hg_2]$	 $[Hg_n]$
$[T_1]$			
$[T_2]$			
÷			
$[T_k]$			

Inspiration

In constructing mutually orthogonal sets of sudoku and magic sudoku tables, Pedersen & Vis (*College Math. J.* 2009) and Lorch (*Amer. Math. Monthly* 2012) re-rediscovered this construction (for finite fields, heavily disguised).

Inspiration

In constructing mutually orthogonal sets of sudoku and magic sudoku tables, Pedersen & Vis (*College Math. J.* 2009) and Lorch (*Amer. Math. Monthly* 2012) re-rediscovered this construction (for finite fields, heavily disguised).

What about orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables?

Inspiration

In constructing mutually orthogonal sets of sudoku and magic sudoku tables, Pedersen & Vis (*College Math. J.* 2009) and Lorch (*Amer. Math. Monthly* 2012) re-rediscovered this construction (for finite fields, heavily disguised).

- What about orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables?
- In what sense might a Cayley-Sudoku table be magic?

Orthogonal Mates & An Old Question

Definition

A **Latin square** is an $n \times n$ array in which each of n symbols appears exactly once in each row and in each column.

Orthogonal Mates & An Old Question

Definition

A **Latin square** is an $n \times n$ array in which each of n symbols appears exactly once in each row and in each column.

Definition

Two Latin squares are **orthogonal**, or are **orthogonal mates**, provided each ordered pair of symbols occurs exactly once when the squares are superimposed.

Orthogonal Mates & An Old Question

Definition

A **Latin square** is an $n \times n$ array in which each of n symbols appears exactly once in each row and in each column.

Definition

Two Latin squares are orthogonal, or are orthogonal mates, provided each ordered pair of symbols occurs exactly once when the squares are superimposed.

Old Question

The Cayley (i.e. operation) table of any finite group is a (bordered) Latin square.

Which Cayley tables have orthogonal mates?

Example

These Cayley tables of $\mathbb{Z}_3 := \{0, 1, 2\}$ *under addition modulo 3 are orthogonal mates.*

Example

These Cayley tables of $\mathbb{Z}_3 := \{0, 1, 2\}$ *under addition modulo 3 are orthogonal mates.*





Non-example

These Cayley tables of $\mathbb{Z}_3 := \{0, 1, 2\}$ *are not orthogonal mates.*

Non-example

These Cayley tables of $\mathbb{Z}_3 := \{0, 1, 2\}$ *are not orthogonal mates.*

Answers to the Old Question

Definition

A complete mapping of a group G is a bijection θ : $G \rightarrow G$ for which the mapping η : $G \rightarrow G$ where $\eta(x) = x\theta(x)$ is also a bijection.

Answers to the Old Question

Definition

A complete mapping of a group G is a bijection θ : $G \to G$ for which the mapping η : $G \to G$ where $\eta(x) = x\theta(x)$ is also a bijection.

Theorem

The Cayley table of a finite group G has an orthogonal mate iff G admits a complete mapping (i.e. G is admissible).

Answers to the Old Question

Definition

A complete mapping of a group G is a bijection θ : $G \to G$ for which the mapping η : $G \to G$ where $\eta(x) = x\theta(x)$ is also a bijection.

Theorem

The Cayley table of a finite group G has an orthogonal mate iff G admits a complete mapping (i.e. G is admissible).

Hall-Paige Conjecture (Theorem circa 2009)

A finite group G has a complete map iff G has trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.

Orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku Tables?

Given a Cayley-Sudoku table based on a subgroup *H* is there an orthogonal mate that is also a Cayley-Sudoku tables based on *H*?

Example

	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)
0	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)
(1,2)(3,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0	(1,4,3,2)
(1,2,3,4)	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)
(2,4)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1, 2, 3, 4)	0
(1,3)(2,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)
(1,4)(2,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	0	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1, 2, 3, 4)
(1,4,3,2)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)
(1,3)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)

	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)
0	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)
(2,4)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0
(1,4,3,2)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)
(1,2)(3,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0	(1,4,3,2)
(1,4)(2,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,3)	0	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,2,3,4)
(1,2,3,4)	(1,2,3,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,3)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)
(1,3)	(1,3)	(1,4)(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(1,2,3,4)	0	(1,4,3,2)	(1,3)(2,4)
(1,3)(2,4)	(1,3)(2,4)	(1,4,3,2)	0	(1,2,3,4)	(1,2)(3,4)	(2,4)	(1,4)(2,3)	(1,3)

Orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku Tables for D_4 based on $\{(), (1,2,3,4), (1,3)(2,4), (1,4,3,2)\}.$

" θ^{-1} Construction"

Observation (MW 2013)

Let G be a finite group. Assume H is a subgroup of G. Let Hg₁, Hg₂,..., Hg_n be the distinct right cosets of H in G and let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_k$ partition G into CSLCR of H in G. Further assume θ is a complete mapping of G.

The following layouts give orthogonal Cayley tables where the list $\theta[S]^{-1} := [\theta(s)^{-1} : s \in [S]].$

	$[Hg_1]$	$[Hg_2]$	 [Hgn]
[<i>T</i> ₁]			
[T ₂]			
:			
$[T_k]$			

	$[Hg_1]$	$[Hg_2]$	 $[Hg_n]$
$\theta[T_1]^{-1}$			
$\theta[T_2]^{-1}$			
$\theta[T_k]^{-1}$			

" θ^{-1} Construction"

Observation (MW 2013)

Let G be a finite group. Assume H is a subgroup of G. Let Hg₁, Hg₂,..., Hg_n be the distinct right cosets of H in G and let $T_1, T_2, ..., T_k$ partition G into CSLCR of H in G. Further assume θ is a complete mapping of G.

The following layouts give orthogonal Cayley tables where the list $\theta[S]^{-1} := [\theta(s)^{-1} : s \in [S]].$

	$[Hg_1]$	$[Hg_2]$	 $[Hg_n]$
[T ₁]			
[T ₂]			
:			
$[T_k]$			

	$[Hg_1]$	[<i>Hg</i> ₂]	 $[Hg_n]$
$\theta[T_1]^{-1}$			
$\theta[T_2]^{-1}$			
:			
$\theta[T_k]^{-1}$			

And they are orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables provided $\theta[T_1]^{-1}$, $\theta[T_2]^{-1}$, ..., $\theta[T_k]^{-1}$ still partition G into CSLCR of H in G.

Questions about Orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku Tables

For any group having a complete mapping does there exist a subgroup *H* and a partition into CSLCR Cayley-Sudoku table for which the θ⁻¹ Construction yields a pair of orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables based on *H*? (So far, yes.)

Questions about Orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku Tables

- For any group having a complete mapping does there exist a subgroup *H* and a partition into CSLCR Cayley-Sudoku table for which the θ⁻¹ Construction yields a pair of orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables based on *H*? (So far, yes.)
- Is there a characterization (along the lines of Hall-Paige) of groups and subgroups for which orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables based on those subgroups exist?

(G has orthogonal C-S tables based on H iff G has trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups and H is ????)

Questions about Orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku Tables

- For any group having a complete mapping does there exist a subgroup *H* and a partition into CSLCR Cayley-Sudoku table for which the θ⁻¹ Construction yields a pair of orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables based on *H*? (So far, yes.)
- Is there a characterization (along the lines of Hall-Paige) of groups and subgroups for which orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables based on those subgroups exist?
 (G has orthogonal C-S tables based on H iff G has trivial or

non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups and H is ????)

How many mutually orthogonal Cayley-Sudoku tables can a group have?

Definition

A **Magic Cayley-Sudoku table** is a Cayley-Sudoku table in which the blocks are magic squares, that is, the blocks are square and the group product (sum) of the elements in every row, column, and diagonal is the same group element, called the **magic constant**.



All products indicated by the arrows in the indicated directions are the same.

Example

The \mathbb{Z}_9 Cayley-Sudoku table is (irreparably) not magic.

Example

The \mathbb{Z}_9 Cayley-Sudoku table is (irreparably) not magic.

	00	10	20	01	11	21	02	12	22
00	00	10	20	01	11	21	02	12	22
01	01	11	21	02	12	22	00	10	20
02	02	12	22	00	10	20	01	11	21
10	10	20	00	11	21	01	12	22	02
11	11	21	01	12	22	02	10	20	00
12	12	22	02	10	20	00	11	21	01
20	20	00	10	21	01	11	22	02	12
21	21	01	11	22	02	12	20	00	10
22	22	02	12	20	00	10	21	01	11

A Magic Cayley-Sudoku Table for $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$ with magic constant 00 $(\ 00=(0,0),\ 10=(1,0),\ etc.\)$

Specializations for Magic Cayley-Sudoku Tables

► Let $H = \{h_1, h_2, ..., h_k\}$ and let *T* be one CSLCR. Then $Th_1, Th_2, ..., Th_k$ partition *G* into CSLCR. Specializations for Magic Cayley-Sudoku Tables

- Let $H = \{h_1, h_2, ..., h_k\}$ and let *T* be one CSLCR. Then $Th_1, Th_2, ..., Th_k$ partition *G* into CSLCR.
- ▶ When *H* is a normal subgroup, we take [*T*] as our right coset representatives for the columns.
Specializations for Magic Cayley-Sudoku Tables

- Let $H = \{h_1, h_2, ..., h_k\}$ and let *T* be one CSLCR. Then $Th_1, Th_2, ..., Th_k$ partition *G* into CSLCR.
- ▶ When *H* is a normal subgroup, we take [*T*] as our right coset representatives for the columns.
- Our Magic Cayley-Sudoku tables are made this way.

	[<i>Ht</i> ₁]	$[Ht_2]$	 $[Ht_n]$
$[Th_1]$			
$[Th_2]$			
÷			
$[Th_k]$			

• *G* is a group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.

- *G* is a group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \le Z(G)$.

- *G* is a group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \le Z(G)$.
- There exists an ordering $[H] = [h_1, h_2, ..., h_k]$ where $\prod_{j=1}^{k} h_j = 1$ (**Trivial Product Property**).

- *G* is a group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \le Z(G)$.
- There exists an ordering $[H] = [h_1, h_2, ..., h_k]$ where $\prod_{j=1}^{k} h_j = 1$

(Trivial Product Property).

► There exists a CSLCR $[T] = [t_1, t_2, ..., t_k]$ of *H* in *G* such that for every $t \in T$, $\prod_{j=1}^k (t_j t) = 1$ (Magic Shuffle Property).

- *G* is a group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \le Z(G)$.
- There exists an ordering $[H] = [h_1, h_2, ..., h_k]$ where $\prod_{j=1}^{k} h_j = 1$

(Trivial Product Property).

- ► There exists a CSLCR $[T] = [t_1, t_2, ..., t_k]$ of *H* in *G* such that for every $t \in T$, $\prod_{i=1}^k (t_j t) = 1$ (Magic Shuffle Property).
- Then the following layout gives a Magic Cayley-Sudoku Table with Magic Constant 1. (In fact, pandiagonal magic.)

	$[Ht_1]$	$[Ht_2]$	•••	$[Ht_n]$
$[Th_1]$				
$[Th_2]$				
:				
$[Th_k]$				

The Main Diagonal Product in Typical Block

Write out a typical block

	$h_1 t$	$h_2 t$		$h_k t$
t_1h	$(t_1h)(h_1t)$			
t_2h		$(t_2h)(h_2t)$		
÷			·	
$t_k h$				$(t_k h)(h_k t)$

The Main Diagonal Product in Typical Block

Write out a typical block

	$h_1 t$	$h_2 t$		$h_k t$
t_1h	$(t_1h)(h_1t)$			
t_2h		$(t_2 h)(h_2 t)$		
÷			·	
$t_k h$				$(t_k h)(h_k t)$

Multiply the main diagonal

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} (t_j h)(h_j t) = h^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} h_j\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} (t_j t)\right) = 1$$

using $h, h_j \in H \le Z(G)$, exp(G) divides k, Trivial Product Property, & Magic Shuffle Property.

Observation An abelian group has the Trivial Product Property iff it has trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.

In the construction, $H \le Z(G)$, so the Trivial Product Property is just another way of saying H has trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.

► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.

- ► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \leq Z(G)$ (redundant)

- ► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \leq Z(G)$ (redundant)
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property.

- ► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \leq Z(G)$ (redundant)
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property.
- (★) G/H has the Trivial Product Property.
 (I.e. H and G/H have trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.)

- ► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \leq Z(G)$ (redundant)
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property.
- ★ (★) *G*/*H* has the Trivial Product Property.
 (I.e. *H* and *G*/*H* have trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.)
- ► Then the Magic Shuffle Property is equivalent to (★) (easy).

- ► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \leq Z(G)$ (redundant)
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property.
- ★ (★) *G*/*H* has the Trivial Product Property.
 (I.e. *H* and *G*/*H* have trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.)
- ► Then the Magic Shuffle Property is equivalent to (★) (easy).
- ▶ So ... there is a Magic Cayley-Sudoku Table of *G* based on *H*.

- ► Let *G* be an **abelian** group of order k^2 and $H \le G$ of order *k*.
- $\exp(G)$ divides k and $H \leq Z(G)$ (redundant)
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property.
- ★ (★) G/H has the Trivial Product Property.
 (I.e. H and G/H have trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.)
- ► Then the Magic Shuffle Property is equivalent to (★) (easy).
- ► So ... there is a Magic Cayley-Sudoku Table of *G* based on *H*.
- (ℤ₉ and ℤ₂ × ℤ₂ show we cannot drop the exponent or Trivial Product Property conditions.)

► Let $G := E \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where *E* is extra special of order p^3 , *p* an odd prime.

► Let $G := E \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where *E* is extra special of order p^3 , *p* an odd prime.

• Then
$$|G| = (p^2)^2$$
, $|Z(G)| = p^2$,

- ► Let $G := E \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where *E* is extra special of order p^3 , *p* an odd prime.
- Then $|G| = (p^2)^2$, $|Z(G)| = p^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides p^2 (and $Z(G) \le Z(G)$!),

- ► Let $G := E \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where *E* is extra special of order p^3 , *p* an odd prime.
- Then $|G| = (p^2)^2$, $|Z(G)| = p^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides p^2 (and $Z(G) \le Z(G)$!),
- ► *Z*(*G*) has the Trivial Product Property, and

- ► Let $G := E \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where *E* is extra special of order p^3 , *p* an odd prime.
- Then $|G| = (p^2)^2$, $|Z(G)| = p^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides p^2 (and $Z(G) \le Z(G)$!),
- ► *Z*(*G*) has the Trivial Product Property, and
- ► $[T] = [(b^i a^j, 1)]$ ordered lexicographically on (j, i) with $j, i \in [0, 1, ..., p-1]$ is a CSLCR with the Magic Shuffle Property, where $E = \langle a, b : a^{p^2} = b^p = 1, a^b = a^{1+p} > \text{or}$ $\langle a, b, c : a^p = b^p = c^p = 1, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1, [a, b] = c >$

- ► Let $G := E \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where *E* is extra special of order p^3 , *p* an odd prime.
- Then $|G| = (p^2)^2$, $|Z(G)| = p^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides p^2 (and $Z(G) \le Z(G)$!),
- ► *Z*(*G*) has the Trivial Product Property, and
- ► $[T] = [(b^i a^j, 1)]$ ordered lexicographically on (j, i) with $j, i \in [0, 1, ..., p-1]$ is a CSLCR with the Magic Shuffle Property, where $E = \langle a, b : a^{p^2} = b^p = 1, a^b = a^{1+p} > \text{or}$ $\langle a, b, c : a^p = b^p = c^p = 1, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1, [a, b] = c >$
- ► So ... there is a Magic Cayley-Sudoku Table of *G* based on *Z*(*G*).

► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.

- ► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.
- Let $H := 1 \times 1 \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.

- ► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.
- Let $H := 1 \times 1 \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.
- Then $|G| = (m^2)^2$, $|H| = m^2$,

- ► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.
- Let $H := 1 \times 1 \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.
- Then $|G| = (m^2)^2$, $|H| = m^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides *m* and $H \le Z(G)$,

- ► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.
- Let $H := 1 \times 1 \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.
- Then $|G| = (m^2)^2$, $|H| = m^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides *m* and $H \le Z(G)$,
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property, and

- ► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.
- Let $H := 1 \times 1 \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.
- Then $|G| = (m^2)^2$, $|H| = m^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides *m* and $H \le Z(G)$,
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property, and
- ► $[T] := [(f_i, f_j, 1, 1)]$ ordered lexicographically on (i, j) with $i, j \in [0, 1, ..., m]$ is a CSLCR with the Magic Shuffle Property.

- ► Let $G := F \times F \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $F := \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_m\}$ is an arbitrary finite group of order *m*.
- Let $H := 1 \times 1 \times \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_m$.
- Then $|G| = (m^2)^2$, $|H| = m^2$,
- $\exp(G)$ divides *m* and $H \le Z(G)$,
- ► *H* has the Trivial Product Property, and
- ► $[T] := [(f_i, f_j, 1, 1)]$ ordered lexicographically on (i, j) with $i, j \in [0, 1, ..., m]$ is a CSLCR with the Magic Shuffle Property.
- ► So ... there is a Magic Cayley-Sudoku Table of *G* based on *H*.

Other constructions?

- Other constructions?
- What is the minimum number of entries that determine a (Magic) Cayley-Sudoku Table?

- Other constructions?
- What is the minimum number of entries that determine a (Magic) Cayley-Sudoku Table?
- Can interesting puzzles be made?

- Other constructions?
- What is the minimum number of entries that determine a (Magic) Cayley-Sudoku Table?
- Can interesting puzzles be made?
- Are there any non-recreational uses?

Bonus Slide: Orthogonal Magic Cayley-Sudoku Tables!

	00	12	21	11	20	02	22	01	10
00	00	12	21	11	20	02	22	01	10
20	20	02	11	01	10	22	12	21	00
10	10	22	01	21	00	12	02	11	20
12	12	21	00	20	02	11	01	10	22
02	02	11	20	10	22	01	21	00	12
22	22	01	10	00	12	21	11	20	02
21	21	00	12	02	11	20	10	22	01
11	11	20	02	22	01	10	00	12	21
01	01	10	22	12	21	00	20	02	11
	00	12	21	11	20	02	22	01	10
00	00	12 12	21	11	20 20	02	22	01	10
00 10	00 00 10	12 12 22	21 21 01	11 11 21	20 20 00	02 02 12	22 22 02	01 01 11	10 10 20
00 10 20	00 00 10 20	12 12 22 02	21 21 01 11	11 11 21 01	20 20 00 10	02 02 12 22	22 22 02 12	01 01 11 21	10 10 20 00
00 10 20 21	00 00 10 20 21	12 12 22 02 00	21 21 01 11 12	11 11 21 01 02	20 20 00 10 11	02 02 12 22 20	22 22 02 12 10	01 01 11 21 22	10 10 20 00 01
00 10 20 21 01	00 00 10 20 21 01	12 12 22 02 00 10	21 21 01 11 12 22	11 11 21 01 02 12	20 20 00 10 11 21	02 02 12 22 20 00	22 22 02 12 10 20	01 01 11 21 22 02	10 10 20 00 01 11
00 10 20 21 01 11	00 00 10 20 21 01 11	12 12 22 02 00 10 20	21 21 01 11 12 22 02	11 11 21 01 02 12 22	20 20 00 10 11 21 01	02 02 12 22 20 00 10	22 02 12 10 20 00	01 01 11 21 22 02 12	10 10 20 00 01 11 21
00 10 20 21 01 11 12	00 00 10 20 21 01 11 12	12 12 22 02 00 10 20 21	21 21 01 11 12 22 02 00	11 11 21 01 02 12 22 20	20 20 00 10 11 21 01 02	02 02 12 22 20 00 10 11	22 22 02 12 10 20 00 01	01 01 11 21 22 02 12 10	10 10 20 00 01 11 21 22
00 10 20 21 01 11 12 22	00 00 10 20 21 01 11 12 22	12 22 02 00 10 20 21 01	21 21 01 11 12 22 02 00 10	11 11 21 01 02 12 22 20 00	20 20 00 10 11 21 01 02 12	02 02 12 22 20 00 10 11 21	22 22 02 12 10 20 00 01 11	01 01 11 21 22 02 12 10 20	10 10 20 00 01 11 21 22 02

Addendum

Theorem (Vaughn-Lee & Wanless (2003))

For any finite group G, G has the Trivial Product Property iff G has trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.

Addendum

Theorem (Vaughn-Lee & Wanless (2003))

For any finite group G, G has the Trivial Product Property iff G has trivial or non-cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups.

With the Hall-Paige conjecture, the above are equivalent to the admissibility of *G*, the existence of an orthogonal mate to the Cayley table of *G*.