

Watershed Education and Outreach Projects

Grant Application Evaluation Work Sheet

June 2006

Project # _____ Applicant: _____

Amount Requested from OWEB: \$ _____ *Your Name: _____

* Your written evaluation will remain confidential. However, we will collect all your evaluations at the end of the review session.

THRESHOLD QUESTIONS (All answers must be "Yes" for the project to be eligible)

In general, the application/project:

- | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Is complete enough to review |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Further broad goals of developing and maintaining healthy watersheds |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Applies learning strategies that are appropriate for the target audience |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Has good potential for success |

Comments on Threshold Questions: _____

INSTRUCTIONS. Information on where the answer to each question can be found is shown in parentheses. Write comments, as appropriate. Assign a score for each question within the parameters provided in the final column, with a higher score representing a better answer. When you've rated each question, add the scores for a total.

CRITERIA	COMMENTS	SCORE
1. Appropriate partners are involved and contributing. (Sec. 2, #4)		0-10
2. This project is the result of an existing watershed, regional, or statewide plan. (Sec. 2, #6)		0-5
3. It is clear why the proposed work is needed. (Sec. 3, #1)		0-15
4. It is clear what the applicant wants to do. (Sec. 3, #2)		0-20
5. The project has (a) well-defined goals for developing awareness, knowledge, and skills, and (b) related objectives. (Sec. 3, #3)		(a) 0-15
		(b) 0-15

(Over)

CRITERIA	COMMENTS	SCORE
6. The applicant has clearly identified the audience and delivery system for products. (Sec. 3, #4)		0-15
7. The project is watershed-wide, region-wide, or statewide in scope, (Sec. 3, #5)		0-10
8. The project is consistent with the education and outreach goals and objectives of the Oregon Plan (Sec. 3, #6 and OP statement)		0-15
9. Project leaders have solid credentials and experience (Sec. 3, #7).		0-10
10. The applicant has a plan to evaluate the effectiveness of the project (Sec. 3, #8).		0-15
11. The cost to OWEB is reasonable, including fiscal administration, which does not exceed 10% of the OWEB subtotal (Budget Page).		0-20
12. The applicant has sought at least 25% match (Match Form).		0-10
TOTAL		0-175

SCORE & FUNDING RECOMMENDATION (add your numbers and check the appropriate box below):

- ☐ 142 -175 = High (Fund)
- ☐ 106 -141 = Medium High (Generally a Fund)
- ☐ 71 -105 = Medium (Possibly a Fund)
- ☐ 36 - 70 = Medium Low (Generally a No Fund)
- ☐ 0 - 35 = Low (No Fund)

OVERALL EVALUATION (Describe the MAIN strengths and weaknesses of the proposal, and include any recommendations for funding with conditions):
