## G473 Summary Notes from Mills' / Debris Flow Hazards Talk

NOTE: Please read the OR Dept. of Forestry report summary by Mills and colleagues that is located in the lab cabinet. That will augment the notes presented here. I have the full report if you would like to copy it or check it out.

- I. Introduction
  - A. Debris Flow Defined (see class notes)
    - 1. Debris Flow = rapidly moving landslide
  - B. Oregon Debris Avalanch Action Program
    - 1. historically landslide terminology has been used very loosely
    - 2. "mudslide" common in media, but is not defined technically
  - C. Oregon Task Force on Landslides and Public Safety
    - 1. OR Dept. of Forestry
    - 2. OR Dept. of Transportation
    - 3. DOGAMI
  - D. Senate Bill 12 1999
    - 1. Covers issues related to rapidly moving landslides
      - a. includes regulation for debris-flow prone areas
- II. Cases Studies of Debris Flow
  - A. 1996 Feb. Storm
    - 1. 4-day storm event,
    - 2. long duration, low intensity, rain-on-snow event
    - 3. 1 landslide fatality
      - a. landslide pushed house into flooded river
      - b. person drowned
    - 4. Natural Resource Impacts
      - a. stream channel erosion / deposition
        - (1) alteration of fluvial habitat
      - b. scarring / erosion of forest land
        - (1) loss of forest stands
    - 5. Local Impacts
      - a. Dallas / Laurel Mountain
        - (1) Public / Private Land
        - (2) 1996 debris flow impact
  - B. November 1997 Storm
    - 1. storm / low pressure system moved north through Willamette Valley through Douglas and Coos County
    - 2. Great number of debris flows spawned in Coast Range
      - a. 5 deaths by debris flow
    - 3. Public outcry
      - a. forestry practices caused debris flows / deaths
    - 4. Governor initiated: Regulations on Forestry Practice and Public Safety aftern Nov. event
- III. Debris Flow Characteristics
  - A. Colluvium on top of weathered bedrock
    - 1. soil depth 2-3 ft up to 6 ft, common
  - B. steep slopes, up to 80% slope (40 degrees)

- C. Clear Cut vs. Old Growth occurrences
- D. Debris flow morphology
  - 1. head scar zone / source of initial slide
  - 2. scoured transport zone
    - a. channels widened and scoured to bedrock commonly
    - b. bedrock plucking / erosion
    - c. lateral channel widening during event
      - (1) e.g. before channels 2-3 ft wide
        - (2) e.g. after, channels up to 150 ft wide
  - 3. Lobate boulder / woody debris snouts at terminus of flow
- E. Velocity of Debris flow
  - 1. 30-35 mi/hr
- F. Material
  - mixed mass of colluvium
    - a. boulders
    - b. fine sediments
    - c. gravel
  - 2. Wood Debris
    - LWD = large woody debris (logs / trees)
- G. Debris Flow Bulking

a.

- 1. As the debris flow is transported, it scours the channel bottom and increases in volume as it moves down the slope
- 2. Case Study / Mills Study / 1997 flows
  - a. calculated that final debris flow deposit volume was 10 to 100 times greater than the initial volume of failed colluvium in
- H. Deposition of Debris Flow
  - 1. Common on slopes of 6-10 %
    - a. as slope angle decreases, energy is reduced, flow stops
- I. Mechanism

3.

- 1. Concave / Hollow Loading with Colluvium
- 2. High Rainfall Event
  - a. saturation of pore spaces
  - b. hydraulic lifting / < friction
  - c. slope failure
  - Hollows evacuate then require 1000's of yrs to refill
- 4. Method of Hollow Filling / Colluviation
  - a. soil creep
  - b. tree throw
    - (1) trees fall over and roots move soil down slope
  - c. burrowing organisms / gophers, etc.
- 5. Cyclic process over time
  - a. debris flow events recorded in debris fans preserved at the depositional site
  - b. question: what is the recurrence interval for debris flow at a give site?
- NOTE: to determine hill slope gradient:

Slope = rise / run = change in elevation / change in horizontal distance =  $Tan(\theta)$ 

where  $\theta$  = slope angle in degrees

## **Example Slope Determination Problem:**

For example, on a topographic map use see that on a hillslope, there is a gain of 51 ft elevation over a horizontal map distance of 100 ft. What is the slope angle in degrees?

$$Tan(\theta) = rise / run = (51 \text{ ft})/(100 \text{ ft}) = 0.51$$

Taking the arctan of 0.51 ("inv tan 0.51" on a calculator or "tan<sup>-1</sup> 0.51" on a calculator), we find that

 $\arctan (0.51) = 30$  degree slope (to check your answer, take  $\tan(30) = 0.51$ )

Now, what is the slope in percent?

Slope% = rise/run x 100% = (change in elevation / change in horizontal distance) x 100%

Slope% =  $(51 \text{ ft})/(100 \text{ ft}) \times 100\% = 51\%$  Thus, a 51% slope = 30 degree slope.

- IV. Observations / Analysis from 1996-1997 Debris Flow Events
  - A. Methods
    - 1. Extensive ground-based mapping of debris flow zones
    - 2. Air Photo Analysis
    - 3. Geographic Information Systems Analysis
      - a. Slope analysis using "DEM" = digital elevation models of topographic quads.
  - B. Slope Failure Initiation Zones ("head scar" zone)
    - 1. 70-100% slope gradients most commonly failed
      - 40% slope gradients were a minimum threshold
  - C. Tree Stand Age vs. Debris Flow Occurrence
    - 1. Mixed results

a.

- a. some old growth failed,
- b. some new growth failed
- 2. Mills suggests no clear relation appeared in data
- 3. Common opinion
  - a. clear cutting / logging reduces root strength and encourages slope failure
  - b. 3-6 years after cutting, the roots rot and debris flows occur
  - c. Mills suggests that the new data is not conclusive in this respect
- D. Some Factors Affecting Debris Flow Occurrence
  - 1. Root Morphology / Tree Root Strength
  - 2. Presence / Absence of Macro Pores
    - a. e.g. burrows, holes, root casts
    - b. heterogeneous soil matrix
      - (1) complex soil permeability pathways
  - 3. Slope angle
  - 4. Slope Shape
    - a. Common to have slides start on concave slopes or colluvium-filled "hollows"
  - 5. Presence of Abundant colluvium
    - a. rock weathering products = "soil"
  - 6. Groundwater focus / concentration of subsurface flow in concave hollows

- 7. Soil Strength
  - a. as related to soil materials
    - (1) texture
    - (2) composition (clay vs. sand, etc.)
- 8. Slope Modification by Humans
  - a. slope cutting (oversteepening of slope)
  - b. slope filling (adding to colluvial thickness)
- 9. Vegetative Cover
  - a. type of trees
  - b. root penetration depth vs. colluvial thickness
  - c. logging history
- 10. Road Positioning / Construction
  - a. Forest Roads
    - (1) fill / thickening of unconsolidated material
      - (a) enhanced loading / sliding
    - (2) Culverts / Drainage ditches
      - (a) focuses / concentrates runoff / infiltration
      - (b) promotes slope failure
    - (3) Oversteepened road cuts
      - (a) > slope gradient
- V. Landslide Triggers

D.

- A. heavy rains
- B. snow melt
  - 1. rain/snow
- C. Earthquakes / vibration
  - 1. Large quakes infrequent in PNW, but do occur
  - Highest Hazard: Quake during Rainy Season
- E. Hydraulic Controls on Landslide Initiation
  - 1. pore pressure
    - a. positive pore pressure, < normal stress, > slope-parallel shear stress, leads to slope failure
  - 2. water saturated pore space in colluvium
    - a. promotes buoyancy / encourages slope failure
    - b. weight of slope material weight of water = effective normal stress
    - c. > saturation, < molecular cohesion between particles, encourages slope failure
  - 3. Negative pore pressure
    - a. condition of non-saturated or partially saturated pore spaces
    - b. high surface tension of water and molecular attraction between clay particles results in negative (i.e. "suction") pore pressure === promotes slope stability
- VI. Depositional Zone
  - A. Length of Transport, amount of bulking, total volume of debris controls the aerial extent of the debris flow impact zone
    - 1. predicting debris flow impact zone is important for hazards analysis
  - B. Depositional Process
    - 1. Coarse woody debris increases friction
      - a. woody debris dams
    - 2. decreasing slope (<10%) reduces energy --- deposition

- C. Fans
  - 1. debris flow deposits at base / mouth of steep drainages
    - a. fan-shaped wedge of debris flow deposit
  - 2. Hazard
    - a. fans represent elevated areas adjacent to floodplains
    - b. farmers / homeowners like to build on fans to stay up off the floodplain
    - c. danger: the debris flow will get you from behind
      - (1) e.g. the Dodson Fan in Columbia River Gorge
        - (a) 1997 Dodson Debris Flow

## VII. Ongoing Research

Β.

- A. Dietrich / Berkeley crew
  - 1. instrumentation in watersheds
  - 2. examining colluvial thickness, root strength, pore pressures,
  - H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest
  - 1. Debris Flow Flume
    - a. Sediment / debris flow transport data collection
- VIII. Oregon / Public Policy Regarding Debris Flow
  - A. Hazard vs. Risk

2.

- 1. Hazard = liklihood of debris flow occurrence
  - Risk degree of consequences from debris flow
    - a. death / property damage
    - b. Habitat Loss / Alteration
- B. OR Senate Bill 12
  - 1. Policy for Rapid Landslides (including debris flow)
  - 2. DOGAMI charged with risk mapping
  - 3. OR Dept. of Forestry charged with landuse regulations / forestry practice
  - 4. OR DOT charged with warning / tracking systems on highways
- C. Debris Flow Warning System (by OR Dept. of Forestry)
  - 1. debris flow warnings issued during heavy rain events
  - 2. storm forecasting
- D. Debris Flow Risk Mitigation (OR Dept. of Lands)
  - 1. land use regulations
  - 2. planning
    - a. locating structures away from hazard impact zones
  - 3. Goal 7 Natural Hazards Planning Goals
  - 4. Technical Guidance for Local / County Gov't Planning Agencies