

Abstract

Groundwater nitrate levels in the lower Umatilla Basin commonly exceed 10 to 20 mg/L and present a notable public health hazard. Regional land-use activities that contribute to the high nitrate concentrations include agriculture, food processing, livestock production, domestic sewage, and military operations. This project provides an overview of a 550-square-mile study area located in northern Morrow and Umatilla Counties. Water-bearing hydrostratigraphic units are primarily associated with the Miocene Columbia River Flood-Basalts (CRBs) and related sedimentary interbeds. The upper part of the CRBs forms an unconfined aquifer that is in hydraulic communication with overlying alluvial zones. This paper examines the occurrence of high nitrate concentrations in the ground water and explores remediation options. The Umatilla Basin comprises an important component of the greater Columbia River region, and is an area of groundwater concern in the state of Oregon.

Introduction

Nitrate levels in the Umatilla basin exceed 10 mg/L in some areas and is harmful to infants if the groundwater is consumed. High nitrate levels can cause gastrointestinal cancer and heart disease. The problem is that the groundwater nitrate levels cannot be fixed in a short time and the only solution to the problem is mitigation of the nitrogen that is being added to the aquifer at the source.



Basalt Group.

Occurrence of Nitrate in Aquifer Systems of the Umatilla Basin

Cesar Cardenas, Earth and Physical Sciences Department, Western Oregon University, Monmouth, OR, 97361 email: ccardenas13@wou.edu

structure contours on top of Columbia River



The purpose of the study was to monitor nitrate levels in the groundwater and decipher what factors play the biggest part in the contribution of nitrates in the groundwater.

Figure 3 represents the increase in groundwater elevation with the increase of nitrates in the groundwater.



Project Overview

Figure 4 shows that there are multiple factors that influence the high levels of nitrogen in the groundwater. The biggest factors were food processing and irrigated agriculture. Figure 5 shows the difference between natural nitrate levels and human influenced nitrate levels. Table 1 shows the amount of nitrogen that was applied and the residual nitrogen that was left in the soil and allowed to infiltrate.

	ALFALFA (HAY)	FIELD Corn, GRAIN	PASTURE	POTATO- TUBERS	WATER- MELONS	WINTER WHEAT (GRAIN)
YIELD	6.5 T	185 Bu	3 Т	480 cwt	16 T	123 Bu
N REQUIRED, LB/A	360	190	190	295		190
N APPLIED/PRESENT:						
N IN CROP RESIDUE, LB/A	40	60	30	90		60
N APPLIED, LBS/A	25	280	110	350	140	195
N RELEASED FROM SOIL, LB/A	300	60	40	80	80	75
N AVAILABLE, 18/A	365	400	180	520	220	330
N OUTPUT:						
DE-NITRIFICATION, LB/A	0	25	0	40	10	10
RESIDUE, LB/A	0	50	0	10		50
N REMOVED, LBS/A	320	130	160	205	130	130
N REMAINING IN PROFILE, LB/A	45	195	20	265	80	140
From *Lower Umatilla Basin Water Management Area Crop Production Practices and Groundwater Quality, May 1991; and individual contact with L. Fitch, OSU Hermiston Ag. Research and Extension Ctr, and V. Pumphrey, Retired Professor, OSU.						
able 1. Residual nitrogen remaining after the						

growing season for each crop type.

Irrigated agriculture and food processing are the major contributors to the high ground-water nitrate concentrations. The only way to remove the nitrates from the system is to allow the system to naturally attenuate. The goal of nitrate mitigation in the future is to reduce the amount of nitrates that seep into the groundwater from irrigated agriculture and food processing. The Umatilla Basin groundwater system is a critical resource in the region.

Bauer, H., H., and Hansen, A., J., 2000, Hydrology of the Columbia Plateau Regional Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, Idaho, pgs. 1-56.

Grondin, G., H., and Wozniak, K., C., and Nelson, D., O., and Camacho, I., 1995, Hydrology, Groundwater Chemistry and Land uses in the Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area, pgs. 1-591.



Conclusion

References