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Iodine Deficiency Disorders

Iodine is an essential element for human and other animal health and forms an important constituent of the thyroid hormones thyroxine (T4, also known as tetraiodothyronine) and triiodothyronine (T3). These hormones play a fundamental biological role in controlling growth and development (Hetzel and Maberly 1986).

If the amount of utilizable iodine reaching the thyroid gland is inadequate, or if thyroid function is impaired, hormone production can be reduced, resulting in a group of conditions collectively referred to as Iodine Deficiency Disorders (IDD) (Fernando et al. 1987, Hetzel 1989). The World Health Organization (WHO 1993) estimate that in excess of one billion people worldwide are at risk from IDD, the most common manifestation of which is goiter (Fig. 9.1). Iodine deficiency is the world’s most common cause of preventable mental retardation and brain damage, and has a significant negative impact on the social and economic development of communities.

Although it is likely that IDD are multifactorial diseases involving other trace element deficiencies and goitrogens (goiter-promoting substances) in foods, a lack of adequate dietary iodine remains a major concern (Stewart and Pharaoh 1996). The link between environmental iodine and IDD has been known for the last 80 years. During this time, the medical community has become well organized when tackling the problem, exemplified by the work of the International Council for the Control of IDD (ICCID) (<http://www.tulane.edu/~icec/icciddhome.htm>), which provides an excellent dissemination point for discussion and information. Remediation strategies often focus on enhancing dietary intakes of iodine via the introduction of iodinated salt and iodinated oil programs (Stanbury and Hetzel 1980). However, these methods are not always successful and other strategies, including environmental interventions, require development (DeLong et al. 1997). In contrast to the wealth of information about the symptoms, assessment, and treatment of IDD, there is very little on the primary cause,
a lack of readily available iodine in the environment and diet.

**Environmental Iodine**

Our knowledge of environmental iodine geochemistry is limited, mainly because the analytical methods for assessment are not routine and iodine is not an element that has been systematically determined in geochemical surveys. However, in the past two decades, improved analytical methodologies and an interest in iodine from different perspectives have added much to our knowledge. Exploration geochemists have used iodine as a pathfinder element to locate deeply buried mineralization, increasing an understanding of iodine movement in rocks and soils (Fuge et al. 1986). Environmental scientists have demonstrated the importance of atmospheric cycling of this element from the oceans (e.g., Alicke et al. 1999). More recently, research into the behavior of iodine in the environment has been connected to the nuclear industry and the threat posed by radionuclides of iodine. In the aftermath of nuclear accidents, $^{131}$I readily finds its way through the food chain to humans where it is preferentially concentrated in the thyroid and may lead to thyroid cancer (Tuttle and Becker 2000). Research in this field has led to a much better understanding of the migration of iodine in the environment. In particular, soil fixation and volatilization to the atmosphere from the soil-plant interface are both far more significant in the geochemical cycle of iodine than previously recognized (Muramatsu et al. 1995, Schmidt and Aumann 1995).

Despite these recent advances, there are myths surrounding environmental iodine that are perpetuated in the literature. Glaciated soils are often quoted as low in iodine, although there is no real evidence to support this, and communities in remote highland regions are commonly cited as being most at risk from IDD (WHO 1993). Whether this is due to the remoteness or to environmental factors has not been established (Stewart and Pharaoh 1996).

While it is a well-known fact that the oceans constitute major environmental sinks for iodine, which is volatilized from sea water and deposited on land during precipitation, investigators often assume an inverse linear relationship between iodine concentrations and distance from the sea (Steinnes). However, there is growing evidence to suggest that the mechanisms of iodine volatilization and transport are complex (Fuge 1996).

**Iodine and Goiter in Sri Lanka**

A case in point is the island of Sri Lanka. Located in the Indian Ocean, no part of the island is more than 110 km from the sea, and yet endemic goiter [estimated to affect 10 million people (Fernando 1987)] has been recorded for the past 50 years. Goiter prevalence closely follows the climato-topographic regions of Sri Lanka and is a greater problem in the Wet Zone in the center and southwest of the island than in the Dry Zone to the north (Fig. 9.2). Interestingly, the southwest coastal region has some of the highest prevalence of goiter (Fordyce et al. 1998). Previous investigators had suggested that iodine was washed out of the soil by high rainfall in the Wet Zone, hence the high goiter prevalence in this region (Mahadeva and Shanmuganathan 1967). Although iodinated salt programs have been introduced in Sri Lanka, these have been only partially successful due to poor uptake (Dr. A. B. C. Amarasinghe, personal communication).

![Figure 9.2: Sketch map showing the location of the 15 study villages; the Wet Zone/Dry Zone demarcation used in the present study is based on the 2000-mm isolayet. The three groups of goiter prevalence villages are as follows: NIDD = No/low < 10% goiter prevalence; MIDD = Moderate 10-25% goiter prevalence and HIDD = High > 25% goiter prevalence.](image-url)
In a project to investigate the selenium and iodine status of the environment and population of Sri Lanka, the present investigators examined the relationships between soil geochemistry and rice, the staple food crop of Sri Lanka. Soil and rice samples \( n = 75 \) were collected from 15 villages, 5 in each of three goiter prevalence areas: low (NIDD) < 10%; moderate (MIDD) 10–25%; and high (HIDD) > 25% (Fig. 9.2). Total iodine concentrations were determined in soils by an automated colorimetric method (Fuge et al. 1978) and in 15 composite rice samples (1 composite per village) by epithermal Neutron Activation Analysis at the Environmental Analysis Section, Imperial College Centre for Environmental Technology, Silwood Park, U.K.

Results demonstrated that, contrary to popular belief, the concentrations of soil total iodine in the Wet (HIDD and MIDD) and Dry Zones (NIDD) of Sri Lanka are similar (Fig. 9.3) and are no lower than in soils from other parts of the world where goiter is not prevalent (Fordyce et al. 1998). However, further investigations into the soil geochemistry revealed that soils in the HIDD and MIDD goiter villages had higher organic matter, gibbsite, and goethite contents and lower pH than soils in the nongoiter (NIDD) villages. Thus, iodine in the Wet Zone (MIDD and HIDD) is adsorbed onto hydrous oxides and organic matter in the soil, inhibiting bioavailability (Fordyce et al. 1998).

In addition to the soil geochemistry, other factors such as methods of plant uptake also influence the migration of elements from the environment through the human food chain. Muramatsu et al. (1995) working in Japan demonstrated that the soil-to-plant transfer factor for iodine in rice is very poor compared to green leafy vegetables, and that iodine in soil can be volatilized as organic/methyl iodine as a result of rice cultivation. The atmosphere is an important source of iodine in plants and atmospheric absorption rather than soil-root uptake may contribute to rice iodine concentrations. As a consequence, concentrations of iodine in rice are often very low compared to the soils and to other crops.

Due to a combination of the soil geochemistry and poor soil-to-plant transfer ratios, total iodine concentrations in Sri Lankan rice samples were very low (< 40 µg/g in all but two samples). Therefore, despite forming the bulk of food intake, rice does not constitute a significant source of iodine in the Sri Lankan diet (Fordyce et al. 2000). An understanding of the biogeochemical factors controlling iodine uptake into the food chain is essential if effective environmental remediation strategies are to be developed.

**The Future**

These studies emphasize the need for better information about the distribution and behavior of iodine in the environment. This knowledge would not only increase our understanding of current environmental intervention schemes, such as the iodination of irrigation waters (DeLong et al. 1997), but could lead to the development of agricultural practices that make more efficient use of iodine already present in the environment to provide additional methods in the fight against IDD. Such schemes require collaboration between geoscientists, agricultural and veterinary specialists, and health experts. The British Geological Survey (BGS) in partnership with health and agricultural scientists recently commenced a three-year project to address some of the environmental controls on IDD and to make resources relating to iodine behavior in the environment more available to researchers in this field. Information will be disseminated from the project website at [http://www.bgs.ac.uk/dfid-kar-geoscience/idd](http://www.bgs.ac.uk/dfid-kar-geoscience/idd). This website links to, and will complement, more medically oriented information sources such as the ICCIDD.

**Figure 9.3: Box and whisker plots of the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles of soil total iodine concentrations classified by goiter prevalence.**

- NIDD = No/low < 10% goiter prevalence
- MIDD = Moderate 10–25% goiter prevalence
- HIDD = High > 25% goiter prevalence
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