## Earthquake Risks and Mitigation in Oregon

Yumei Wang, Oregon Dept. of Geology and Mineral Industries, summary of offprint from "Environmental, Groundwater and Engineering Geology: Applications from Oregon, 1998.

- I. Introduction
  - A. Oregon and earthquakes
    - 1. all parts of state have potential for earthquakes
    - 2. Oregon lies at juncture of Cascadia Subduction Zone
      - a. Juan de Fuca plate subducts beneath N. America
  - B. Earthquake types
    - 1. Intraplate quakes within the Juan de Fuca plate
    - 2. Crustal quakes within the over-riding N. American plate
    - 3. Subduction-Zone quakes at interface between subducting and over-riding slabs
    - 4. Volcanic-related quakes
      - a. Cascade volcanic arc
  - C. Seismic Records
    - 1. limited historic seismicity
    - 2. surface traces of active faults limited
  - D. Moral of Story:
    - 1. moderate level of historic record for quakes but...
    - 2. significant risk in Oregon
- II. Earthquake Sources in Pacific Northwest
  - A. Convergent Plate Tectonic Setting
    - 1. Cascadia subduction
    - 2. Paleoseismic record
      - a. last major subduction zone quake ~300 yrs ago
      - b. several large magnitude quakes in past several 1000 yrs
    - 3. maximum magnitude quakes expected: 8.5-9.0 (wow!!)
  - B. Quake Types
    - 1. Deep Intraplate
      - a. depth 40-60 km, within interior of Juan de Fuca plate
      - b. max magnitude ~7.5
      - c. micro-earthquakes common
    - 2. Shallow Crustal quakes
      - a. depth 10-25 km, in N. American crust
        - (1) e.g. Klamath Falls 1993 (M5.9-6.3)
    - 3. Volcanic Quakes
      - a. max Magnitude ~5.5
      - b. e.g. Mt. St. Helens 1980

- A. Historic seismicity is low frequency
  - 1. problem complacency
  - 2. Explanations for low seismic frequency in Cascadia Subduction Zone
    - a. convergence rate = 0
      - (1) known: convergence rate = 3-4 cm/yr
    - b. converging slip accommodated aseismically
    - c. PNW is in major seismic gap, with major locked plate segments
      - (1) "the big one is coming"
- B. Factors for Oregon
  - 1. Population increase, > population density
  - 2. low public awareness
- C. History of Seismic Work in Oregon
  - 1. Trojan Nuclear Plant Siting
  - 2. Bonneville Power Administration
- D. Current Seismic Data Set
  - 1. prehistoric earthquake record
    - a. Native American legends
      - (1) Tsunamis
      - (2) landslides
    - b. Japanese historic documents
  - 2. Instrument-recorded data
    - a. GPS ground motion measurements
    - b. seismic analysis
  - 3. Geologic Records
    - a. quake-induced landslides
    - b. buried forests / marsh soils resulting from coseismic subsidence
      - (1) recurrence interval estimates for great quakes: 400-800 yrs
    - c. tsunamic sand deposits in back bay aeras
    - d. liquefaction features
    - e. turbidites
    - f. offshore submarine landslides
- IV. Hazards Mitigation and Risk Analysis
  - A. Terms Defined
    - 1. hazard probability of ground shaking (or any event)
    - 2. risk potential for death / destruction associated with hazard
  - B. Earthquake Hazards Mapping Program
- V. Hazards Maps
  - A. Hazards Associations
    - 1. Liquefaction potential
      - a. unstable saturated soils, during shaking
    - 2. Amplification of Shaking
      - a. unconsolidated, fine-grained soils
    - 3. Landsliding

- B. Key Data / Spatial Associations
  - 1. Bedrock Geology
  - 2. Topographic Slope
  - 3. Surficial Geology
    - a. Soils Distribution
    - b. Alluvial Sediments
  - 4. Groundwater Conditions
    - a. Depth to Water
    - b. Unconsolidated Aquifers
- C. Map Products
  - 1. Liquefaction Susceptibility
    - a. high susceptibility: loos, saturated sands / silt below water table
    - b. low susceptibility: consolidated bedrock, compacted gravels
    - c. Result of Liquefaction structural failures
    - d. e.g. Scale
      - (1) 0 no suscept. = bedrock
      - (2) 1 < 6ft of liq. material
      - (3)  $5 \rightarrow 25$  ft of liq. material
  - 2. Amplification Susceptibility
    - a. defined materials intensification of groundshaking energy
      - (1) "ground motion amplification"
    - b. most susceptible: thick deposits soft, low density unconsolidated soils
      - (1) low shear wave velocity = high damage
    - c. e.g. Scale
      - (1) 0 no suscept. / bedrock
      - (2) 5 low density soils/ unconsolidated
  - 3. Landslide Susceptibility
    - a. earthquake induced shaking / landslides
    - b. Factors

c.

- (1) slope / gradient
- (2) groundwater saturation
- (3) vegetative cover
- (4) colluvial thickness / easily weathered rocks
- (5) bedrock structure
  - (a) bedding planes
  - (b) joints
- e.g. scale slope angle
  - (1) 1 low susceptibility (slopes < 6 degrees)
  - (2) 4 high susc (slopes > 22 degrees)

4. Relative Earthquake Hazard: based on above 3 criteria

## a. Primary Analytical Tools

- (1) Surface Mapping / Public Record
  - (a) Bedrock Geologic Maps
  - (b) Surficial Geology Maps
  - (c) Soils Survey Maps
- (2) Geographic Information Systems
  - (a) Computer Based Spatial Analysis
    - i) Maps + Database

Category of Quake Hazard	Liquefaction	Amplification	Landsliding
0 (low)	0	1	0
1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1
3	2	2	2
4	3	3	3
5(high)	3	3	3

(0 = low, 3 = highest)